arXiv:1103.0618v1 [math.CA] 3 Mar 2011

Convergence of Fourier series at or beyond endpoint

Shunchao Long

Abstract

We consider several problems at or beyond endpoint in harmonic analysis. The solutions of these problems are related to the estimates of some classes of sublinear operators. To do this, we introduce some new functions spaces $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, which play an analogue role with the classical Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. These spaces are subspaces of $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$, and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n) \supset L^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ when $-n < \alpha < n(p/s-1)$. We prove the following results.

First, μ_α-a.e. convergence and $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ -norm convergence of Fourier series hold for all functions in $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ with $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $-1 < \alpha < p-1$, where $\mu_\alpha(x) = |x|^\alpha$;

Second, many sublinear operators initially defined for the functions in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $1 < p < \infty$, such as Calderón-Zygmund operators, C.Fefferman's singular multiplier operator, R.Fefferman's singular integral operator, the Bochner-Riesz means at the critical index, certain oscillatory singular integral operators, and so on, admit extensions which map $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$;

Final, Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded from $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (or $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$) to $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $1 < s < \infty$ and $0 < p \leq s$ if and only if $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$.

Table of contents

1. Introduction
2. $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$: definitions and basic properties
3. Some classes of operators
4. Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator
5. Singular integrals
6. Fourier series

1 Introduction

In this paper we study several fundamental problems at or beyond endpoint in harmonic analysis, such as convergence of Fourier series on $\mathbf{R}$, extension of some singular integrals and boundedness of Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on $\mathbf{R}^n$. These problems are known to be soluble on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $(n = 1$ or $n \geq 1)$, for $1 < p < \infty$, but not for $0 < p \leq 1$, even not on $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. One naturally asks how these known results for $1 < p < \infty$ are extend to $0 < p \leq 1$. This can be done when we consider the problems on the weighted Lebesgue spaces $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. We show that these problems are soluble on some subspaces, $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, of $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $0 < p \leq 1, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $1 < s < \infty$. These spaces are extensions of the classical Hardy spaces and the block spaces of M.Taibleson and G.Weiss. They play an analogue role with the classical Hardy spaces in this paper. And $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ includes $L^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $-1 < \alpha < p/s - 1$.

We denote by $S_N f$ the partial sums of the Fourier Series of a function f on $T = [-\pi, \pi]$ or the Fourier integral of f on $\mathbf{R}$. A famous result of L.Carleson [3] states that: if $f \in L^2(T)$ then $S_N f(x)$ converges to $f(x)$ almost everywhere as $N \to \infty$. R.Hunt [14] extended this result to $1 < p < \infty$. Alternative proofs of L.Carleson's theorem were provided by C.Fefferman [7] and M.Lacey and C.Thiele [19]. In fact, M.Lacey and C.Thiele proved the theorem on the line $\mathbf{R}$. An alternative proof of Hunt's theorem was provided by L.Grafakos, T.Tao and E.Terwilleger [10], and they proved the theorem on the line $\mathbf{R}$.

The convergence problem of Fourier series for the endpoint $p = 1$ was considered by many mathematicians. In 1923, A.Kolmogorov [17] proved that there exists a $f \in L^1$ whose Fourier series diverges at almost every point. This shows that Carleson-Hunt's theorem does not hold when $p = 1$. In fact, the function f constructed by Kolmogorov is in $L \log\log L(T) \subset L^1(T)$. Kolmogorov's result was extended to $f \in L(\log\log L)^{1-\varepsilon}(T)$ by Y.M.Chen [4], and to $f \in L\varphi(L)(T)$ where φ satisfies $\varphi(t) = o((\log t/\log\log t)^{1/2})$ by S.V.Konyagin [18]. The example of Kolmogorov can also be modified to yield a function $f \in H^1(T)$ whose Fourier series diverges at almost every point (see [38], Chapter 8).

Some positive results of extension of Carleson-Hunt theorem to $p = 1$ have been obtained by several authors. R.Hunt [14] proved that if $f \in L\text{log}L\text{log}L(T)$ then $S_N f(x)$ converges to $f(x)$ almost everywhere. This result was sharpened to $f \in L\text{log}L\text{loglog}L(T)$ by P.Sjolin [32], and to $f \in L\text{log}L\text{logloglog}L(T)$ by N.Y.Antonov [1]. Also there are some quasi-Banach spaces of functions with a.e. convergent Fourier series, see [33, 34] and [2]. And M.Taibleson and G.Weiss [36] proved that if $f \in B_q$ (generated by q-blocks) $\subset L^1(T)$, then $S_N f(x)$ converges to $f(x)$ almost everywhere.

Our first result of this paper is to extend Carleson-Hunt's theorem to $0 < p \leq 1$ as follows: let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $-1 < \alpha < p - 1$. Then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} S_N f(x) = f(x), \qquad \mu_\alpha - \text{a.e.}$$

for all $f \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$, where $\mu_\alpha(x) = |x|^\alpha$.

On the other hand, an early celebrated result due to M.Riesz [30] states that $S_N f(x)$ converges to $f(x)$ in $L^p(\mathbf{R})$-norm for $1 < p < \infty$. But for $p = 1$, Kolmogorov's example shows that there exists a $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$ whose Fourier series does not converge to f in $L^1(\mathbf{R})$. And the Fourier series of the function $f \in H^1(\mathbf{R})$ mentioned above, yielded by the example of Kolmogorov, does not converge to f in $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ as well.

Here, our second result is to extend the norm convergence of Fourier series to $0 < p \leq 1$ as follows: let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $-1 < \alpha < p - 1$, then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \|S_N f - f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})} = 0$$

for all $f \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$.

The partial sums operator S_N of the Fourier Series or the Fourier integral is a sublinear operator which is closely related to Hilbert transform H since the identity (6.2) below. The convergence results above rely on some estimates of S_N. In fact, in this paper we shall consider some classes of sublinear operators which are related to S_N, many singular integral operators and Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

It is well-known that the theory for singular integral operators plays an important role in harmonic analysis. Many classical singular integral operators, such as Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators, C.Fefferman's strong singular multiplier operator, R.Fefferman's singular integral operator, some oscillatory singular integral operator, and so on, are initially defined on Schwartz functions space. These operators are known to extend boundedness linear operators on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, but not for $0 < p \leq 1$. It is known that Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators admit the extensions which map $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $p \leq 1$. However, some of these operators, such as certain C.Fefferman's strong singular multiplier operators and certain oscillatory singular integral operators, fail to map $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, or $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into itself, for certain $0 < p \leq 1$. (See [35, 9, 31, 25, 26]).

In this paper, our third work is to show that these singular integral operators T mentioned above admit some extensions which map $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (or $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$) into $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$, and satisfy

$$Tf = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T a_j, \quad \mu_\alpha - \text{a.e.},$$

for all $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$ where each a_i is a central R-$(p, s, \alpha)-$block and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^{\bar{p}} < \infty$ with $\bar{p} = \min\{p, 1\}$.

It is also known that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M is bounded on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. Due to this property and its character of controlling many operators M is very useful in Harmonic analysis. So, it is also important to extend its $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$-boundedness property for $1 < p < \infty$ to $0 < p \leq 1$. Unfortunately, M is not a bounded operator on $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, even not from $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$, although there is a substitute result, namely that it is bounded from $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into weak-$L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. In fact, there is no non-zero subspace in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ from which Mf is bounded since it is never in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ unless $f = 0$ for $0 < p \leq 1$. (See [11]).

Surprisingly, we find here that the weighted norm inequality of M can be extended to $0 < p \leq 1$. Our fourth result is that M maps $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (or $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$) into $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$.

In fact, we have more for Hilbert transform and Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. According to the famous results by B.Muckenhoupt [24] and R.Hunt, B.Muckenhoupt and R.Wheeden [15], it is known that, for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

if and only if $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$, where T are Hilbert transform and Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

Here, we extend these to $0 < p \leq 1$ as follows: let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$, then

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \quad \text{or} \quad \|Tf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

if and only if $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the spaces $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and list some of their properties. In this section, we see that the spaces $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ are larger than L^s for all $1 < s < \infty$. In section 3 we discuss the boundedness properties of some classes of sublinear operators. The boundedness of Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is discussed in section 4. The extension properties of some singular integral operators are obtained in section 5. Section 6 is devote to the convergence of Fourier series, including pointwise convergence and norm convergence.

2 $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$: definitions and basic properties

The spaces $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ introduced in [21, 22] are very useful in the study for norm convergence of Bochner-Riesz means and the spherical means at or beyond endpoint. Unfortunately, we can not obtain new pointwise convergence result of Fourier series by using $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. Because a restriction $n(p/s-1) < \alpha < n(p-1)$ is needed in our use of $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ in [22] , and $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset L^{\frac{np}{n+\alpha}}$ at this time. Here, we introduce some new functions spaces $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ which are slightly different from the spaces $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. These spaces generate by the annulus blocks centered at origin. So, they are also extensions of the classical Hardy spaces and Taibleson and Weiss's blocks spaces.

Denote $B_k = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x| \leq 2^k\}, C_k = B_k \setminus B_{k-1}$ for $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $\widetilde{C}_k = C_k$ for $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\widetilde{C}_0 = B_0$. χ_E is the characteristic function of set E.

Definition 1 Let $0 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p < \infty, -\infty < \alpha < \infty$.

A. A function $a(x)$ is said to be a central R-(p, s, α)-block on $\mathbf{R}^n$, if

(i) supp $a \subseteq C_k \subset \mathbf{R}^n, k \in \mathbf{Z}$,

(ii) $\|a\|_{L^s} \leq |B_k)|^{-\alpha/pn-1/p+1/s}$;

B. A function $a(x)$ is said to be a central R-(p, s, α)-block of restrict type on $\mathbf{R}^n$, if

(i) supp $a \subseteq \widetilde{C}_k \subset \mathbf{R}^n, k \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$,

(ii) $\|a\|_{L^s} \leq |B_k|^{-\alpha/pn-1/p+1/s}$.

Definition 2 Let $0 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p < \infty, -\infty < \alpha < \infty$.

A. The homogeneous functions spaces $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{f \quad : \quad f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k, \\
&\text{where each } a_k \text{ is a central R-}(p,s,\alpha)\text{-block on } \mathbf{R}^n, \\
&\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{\bar{p}} < +\infty\},
\end{aligned}
$$

the "convergence" is meant in the sense of μ_α-a.e. convergence. Moreover, we define a quasinorm on $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by

$$
\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)} = \inf \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{\bar{p}} \right)^{1/\bar{p}},
$$

where the infimum is taken over all the decompositions of f as above.

B. The non-homogeneous functions spaces $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ are defined replacing the central R-(p,s,α)-blocks in the definition above by central R-(p,s,α)-blocks of restrict type.

Simply, we denote $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ respectively as $n > 1$.

Now, let us state a number of properties of $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

Proposition 2.1 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. Then

$$
RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset L^p_{|x|^\alpha} \text{ and } \dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset L^p_{|x|^\alpha}.
$$

Proposition 2.2 Let $0 < p \leq s \leq \infty$. Then,

i. if $n(p/s - 1) \leq \alpha < \infty$,

$$
RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset L^{\frac{np}{n+\alpha}} \text{ and } \dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset L^{\frac{np}{n+\alpha}},
$$

ii. if $-n < \alpha \leq n(p/s - 1)$,

$$
L^s \subset \dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha},
$$

iii. if $\alpha = n(p/s - 1)$,

$$
L^s = \dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}.
$$

Proposition 2.3 Let $1 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p \leq s, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. Then, $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ are complete normed-spaces.

Proposition 2.4 Let $1 \leq s < \infty, 0 < p < \infty, -n < \alpha < \infty$. Then C_0^∞ and $\mathcal{S}$ are dense in $R\dot{L}^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

Proposition 2.1 is a corollary of Theorem 4.1 below. See [21] for proofs of Propositions 2.2-2.4.

3 Some classes of operators

Let operators T_1, T_2 and T_0 be defined for any integrable function with compact support and satisfy the size conditions below, respectively,

$$|T_1 f(x)| \leq C\|f\|_{L^1}/|x|^n, \tag{3.1}$$

when supp $f \subseteq C_k$ and $|x| \geq 2^{k+1}$ with $k \in \mathbf{Z}$;

$$|T_2 f(x)| \leq C2^{-kn}\|f\|_{L^1}, \tag{3.2}$$

when supp $f \subseteq C_k$ and $|x| \leq 2^{k-2}$ with $k \in \mathbf{Z}$; and

$$T_0 \text{ satisfies (3.1) and (3.2).}$$

Theorem 3.1 Let $1 \leq s \leq \infty, 0 < p \leq p_0 \leq s, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. Suppose that $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2$, defined as above, are bounded on L^{p_0}. For all central R-$(p, s, \alpha)-$blocks a, we have,

A) if $-n < \alpha < n(p/p_0 - 1)$, then

$$\|T_2 a\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C;$$

B) if $n(p/p_0 - 1) < \alpha < n(p-1)$, then

$$\|T_1 a\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C;$$

C) if $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$, then

$$\|T_0 a\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C,$$

where C are independent of a.

Proof Let T be the operators above, a be a central R- (p, s, α)-block with supp$a \subseteq C_{k_0}$ and $\|a\|_{L^s} \leq \|B_{k_0}\|^{-\frac{\alpha}{np}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{s}}$. For $0 < p < \infty$, we see that

$$\|Ta\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \approx \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |B_j|^{\alpha/n}\|(Ta)\chi_{C_j}\|^p_{L^p}.$$

Let

$$I_1 = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k_0-2} |B_j|^{\alpha/n}\|(Ta)\chi_{C_j}\|^p_{L^p},$$

$$I_3 = \sum_{j=k_0+2}^{\infty} |B_j|^{\alpha/n}\|(Ta)\chi_{C_j}\|^p_{L^p},$$

$$I_{2A} = \sum_{j=k_0-1}^{\infty} |B_j|^{\alpha/n}\|(Ta)\chi_{C_j}\|^p_{L^p},$$

$$I_{2B} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k_0+1} |B_j|^{\alpha/n}\|(Ta)\chi_{C_j}\|^p_{L^p},$$

$$I_{2C}=\sum_{j=k_0-1}^{k_0+1}|B_j|^{\alpha/n}\|(Ta)\chi_{C_j}\|_{L^p}^p.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\|Ta\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}}\approx I_1+I_{2A};\quad \|Ta\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}}\approx I_{2B}+I_3;\quad \|Ta\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}}\approx I_1+I_{2C}+I_3.$$

For I_1, we use the facts that $k_0\geq j+2$ and $x\in C_j$. By (3.2) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$|T_2a(x)|\leq C2^{-k_0n}\|a\|_{L^1}\leq C2^{-k_0n}\|a\|_{L^s}|B_{k_0}|^{1/s'}\leq C|B_{k_0}|^{-\alpha/np-1/p},$$

where s' such that $\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{s'}=1$ for $1\leq s\leq\infty$ It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k_0-2}|B_j|^{\alpha/n}|B_{k_0}|^{-\alpha/n-1}\|\chi_{C_j}\|^p_{L^p}\leq C\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k_0-2}\left(\frac{|B_j|}{|B_{k_0}|}\right)^{\alpha/n+1}=C\end{aligned}$$

when $\alpha/n+1>0$. For I_3, we use the facts that $k_0\leq j-2$ and $x\in C_j$. By (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_1a(x)|\chi_{C_j}(x) &\leq C2^{-jn}\|a\|_{L^1}\leq C2^{-jn}\|a\|_{L^s}|B_{k_0}|^{1/s'}\\ &\leq C2^{-jn}|B_{k_0}|^{1-\alpha/np-1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq C\sum_{j=k_0+2}^{\infty}|B_j|^{\alpha/n}2^{-jnp}|B_{k_0}|^{p-\alpha/n-1}\|\chi_{C_j}\|^p_{L^p}\leq C\sum_{j=k_0+2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{|B_j|}{|B_{k_0}|}\right)^{\alpha/n+1-p}=C\end{aligned}$$

when $\alpha+n-np<0$.

For $I_{2A}, I_{2B}$and I_{2C}, let us first estimate $|B_j|^{\alpha/pn}\|\,(Ta)\,\chi_{C_j}\|_{L^p}$. Let $0<p<\infty, p\leq p_0\leq s\leq\infty$. Using Hölder's inequality twice and L^{p_0} - boundedness of T, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |B_j|^{\alpha/pn}\|\,(Ta)\,\chi_{C_j}\|_{L^p} &\leq |B_j|^{\alpha/pn}\left(\|\,(Ta)\,\chi_{C_j}\|^p_{L^{p_0}}\|\chi_{C_j}\|_{L^{(p_0/p)'}}\right)^{1/p}\\ &\leq C|B_j|^{\alpha/pn}\|a\|_{L^{p_0}}|C_j|^{1/p-/p_0}\\ &\leq C|B_j|^{\alpha/pn}\|a\|_{L^s}|B_{k_0}|^{1/p_0-1/s}|C_j|^{1/p-/p_0}\\ &\leq C\left(\frac{|B_j|}{|B_{k_0}|}\right)^{\alpha/pn+1/p-1/p_0}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$I_{2A}\leq C\sum_{j=k_0-1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{|B_j|}{|B_{k_0}|}\right)^{(\alpha/pn+1/p-1/p_0)p}=C$$

when $\alpha<pn(1/p_0-1/p)$;

$$I_{2B}\leq C\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k_0+1}\left(\frac{|B_j|}{|B_{k_0}|}\right)^{(\alpha/pn+1/p-1/p_0)p}=C$$

when $\alpha > pn(1/p_0 - 1/p)$; and

$$I_{2C} \leq C \sum_{j=k_0-1}^{k_0+1} \left(\frac{|B_j|}{|B_{k_0}|} \right)^{(\alpha/pn+1/p-1/p_0)p} = C$$

when $-\infty < \alpha < +\infty$. Then, the desired results can be obtained from the estimates above, in fact, B) from I_3 and I_{2B}, A) from I_1 and I_{2A}, C) from I_1, I_3 and I_{2C}. Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 3.1

From Theorem 3.1, we have

Theorem 3.2 Let $1 \leq s \leq \infty, 0 < p \leq p_0 \leq s, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. Suppose that $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2$, defined as above, are bounded on L^{p_0}, and

$$|T_i f| \leq \sum |\lambda_j||T_i a_j|, \quad \mu_\alpha - \text{a.e.}, \tag{3.3}$$

for $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, where each a_j is a central R-$(p, s, \alpha)-$block. We have,

A) if $-n < \alpha < n(p/p_0 - 1)$, then

$$\|T_2 f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}};$$

B) if $n(p/p_0 - 1) < \alpha < n(p-1)$, then

$$\|T_1 f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}};$$

C) if $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$, then

$$\|T_0 f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}},$$

where C are independent of f.

Proof Let $f \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j$ where each a_j is a central R-$(p, s, \alpha)-$block such that

$$\left(\sum |\lambda_j|^{\bar{p}} \right)^{1/\bar{p}} \leq \|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \varepsilon.$$

Then, by (3.3), Minkowski inequality and Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\|T_i f\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \sum |\lambda_j|^p \|T_i a_j\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C \sum |\lambda_j|^p \leq C\|f\|^p_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \varepsilon^p$$

when $0 < p \leq 1$, and

$$\|T_i f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \sum |\lambda_j| \|T_i a_j\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C \sum |\lambda_j| = C \left(\sum |\lambda_j|^{\bar{p}} \right)^{1/\bar{p}} \leq C\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \varepsilon$$

when $p > 1$. Since ε is arbitrary, we have $\|T_i f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}$. Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 3.2.

For the non-homogeneous spaces $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, we have a similar theorem whose proof is similar to that of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

Let $\overline{T}_1, \overline{T}_2, \overline{T}_0$ be sublinear operators saitisfing the size conditions below respectively,

$$|\overline{T}_1 f(x)| \leq C\|f\|_{L^1}/|x - x_0|^n, \tag{3.4}$$

when supp $f \subseteq B_0(x_0)$ and $|x-x_0|>2$ or when supp $f \subseteq C_k(x_0)$ and $|x-x_0| \geq 2^{k+1}$ with $k \in \mathbf{N}$, and

$$|\overline{T}_2 f(x)| \leq C 2^{-kn} \|f\|_{L^1}, \tag{3.5}$$

when supp $f \subseteq C_k(x_0)$ and $|x-x_0| \leq 2^{k-2}$ with $k \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}$, and

$$\overline{T}_0 \text{ satisfies (3.4) and (3.5).}$$

Theorem 3.3 Let $1 \leq s \leq \infty, 0 < p \leq p_0 \leq s, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. Suppose that $\overline{T}_i, i = 0, 1, 2$, defined as above, are bounded on L^{p_0}, and satisfy (3.3) for $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j \in \dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, we have

A) if $-n < \alpha < n(p/p_0 - 1)$, then

$$\|\overline{T}_1 f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}};$$

B) if $n(p/p_0 - 1) < \alpha < n(p-1)$, then

$$\|\overline{T}_2 f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}};$$

C) if $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$, then

$$\|\overline{T}_0 f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}},$$

where C are independent of f.

Corollary 3.1 Let $1 \leq s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. Suppose that a operator T satisfies the size condition

$$|Tf(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^n} dy, \qquad x \notin \text{supp} f, \tag{3.6}$$

for any integrable function with compact support and $\|Ta\|_{L^s} \leq C \|a\|_{L^s}$. Then

$$\|Ta\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C$$

for all a, the central R-$(p, s, \alpha)-$blocks and central R-$(p, s, \alpha)-$blocks of restrict type, where C is independent of a.

Proof It is obvious since Tf satisfies (3.1), (3.2), (3.4) and (3.5), see [20].

Let $FRL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ be the set of all finite linear combination of R-(p, s, α)-blocks, and $F\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ be the set of all finite linear combination of R-(p, s, α)-blocks of restrict type. We have

Corollary 3.2 Let $1 \leq s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. Suppose that a sublinear operator T satisfies (3.6). If $\|Ta\|_{L^s} \leq C \|a\|_{L^s}$, then

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C \|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \tag{3.7}$$

for all $f \in FRL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, and

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \tag{3.8}$$

for all $f \in F\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, where C are independent of f.

Proof Let f be an element of $F\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and pick a representation of $f = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j a_j$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \approx \left(\sum_{j=1}^N |\lambda_j|^{\bar{p}} \right)^{1/\bar{p}}.$$

Then (3.7) and (3.8) follow easily from Corollary 3.1.

Remark 3.1 The size conditions (3.1), (3.2), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) are satisfied by a lot of operators arising in harmonic analysis. Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators, and Littlewood-Paley g-function, Lusin area function and Littlewood-Paley g^*_λ-function defined as in [20] satisfy the size conditions (3.1), (3.2), (3.4) and (3.5), see [20]. While (3.6) is satisfied by many operators, such as Calderón-Zygmund operators, the Carleson maximal operator, C.Fefferman's singular multiplier operator in [13, 37, 8, 5], R.Fefferman's singular integral operator in [6], the Bochner-Riesz means at the critical index, certain oscillatory singular integral operators in [28, 29, 27], and so on, see [20] and [22].

4 Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators

For Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M, we have more than Theorems 3.2 and 3.3.

Theorem 4.1 Let $1 < s < \infty$ and $0 < p \leq s$. Then

$$\|Mf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \quad (\text{or} \;\; \|Mf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}) \tag{4.1}$$

for all $f \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ (or $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$) if and only if $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$.

Proof For the "if" part, it is known that Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M satisfies (3.4) and (3.5) (see [20]). M satisfies also (3.3) for $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ (or $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$), where each a_j is a central R-$(p, s, \alpha)-$block (or, of restriction type). In fact, Let $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ (or $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$), taking $x \in Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and using Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |\sum \lambda_j a_j(y)|dy \leq \sum |\lambda_j| \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |a_j(y)|dy \leq \sum |\lambda_j| M a_j(x)$$

for $x \in Q$, and it follows that $Mf(x) \leq \sum |\lambda_j| M a_j(x)$. So, the "if" part of Theorem 4.1 is a corollary of Theorem 3.3

For the "only if " part, we need only to prove that (4.1) fails for $\alpha \leq -n$ and $\alpha \geq n(p-1)$. Let us first see the case of $\alpha \leq -n$. We know that

$$M(\chi_{C_0})(x) \geq C \tag{4.2}$$

for $x \in B_0$, where $C_0 = B_0 \setminus B_{-1}$. It is clear that $\chi_{C_0}(x) \in \dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ (and $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$) for any $0 < p, s < \infty$ and $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$ with $\|\chi_{C_0}\|_{\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq 1$ (and $\|\chi_{C_0}\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq 1$).

By (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |M(\chi_{C_0})(x)|^p |x|^\alpha dx &\geq C\int_{B_0} |x|^\alpha dx \\ &= C\int_0^1 r^{\alpha+n-1} dr \\ &= \infty\end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \leq -n$. Thus, (4.1) fails for $\alpha \leq -n$. Next, let us consider the case of $\alpha \geq n(p-1)$, it suffices to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 Let $0 < p < \infty, n(p-1) \leq \alpha < \infty$, and $0 < q \leq 1, f \in L^q$. Then Mf is never in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ if $f \neq 0$.

Once Proposition 4.1 is proved, by Proposition 2.2i, then (4.1) fails for $\alpha \geq n(p-1)$ (i.e. $\frac{np}{n+\alpha} \leq 1$). Thus, Theorem 4.1 holds.

Proof of Proposition 4.1 If supp $f \subset B(0,R)$, then

$$Mf(x) \geq \frac{\|f\|_{L^1}}{v_n} \frac{1}{(|x|+R)^n}, \quad \text{for} \quad |x| \geq R,$$

where v_n is the volume of the unit ball (see [11]). By Hölder inequality, it follows that

$$Mf(x) \geq \frac{\|f\|_{L^q}}{v_n R^{(1-q)/q}} \frac{1}{(|x|+R)^n}, \quad \text{for} \quad |x| \geq R.$$

Noticing $np - \alpha \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int |Mf(x)|^p |x|^\alpha dx &\geq \left(\frac{\|f\|_{L^q}}{v_n R^{(1-q)/q}}\right)^p \int_{|x|\geq R} \frac{|x|^\alpha}{(|x|+R)^{np}} dx \\ &\simeq \left(\frac{\|f\|_{L^q}}{v_n R^{(1-q)/q}}\right)^p \int_{|x|\geq R} \frac{1}{(|x|+R)^{np-\alpha}} dx, \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\|f\|_{L^q}}{v_n R^{(1-q)/q}}\right)^p \int_{|x|\geq R} \frac{1}{(|x|+R)^{n}} dx = \infty,\end{aligned}$$

if $\|f\|_{L^q} \neq 0$. So if Mf is in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$, then $f = 0$ a.e.. For general f, taking $f_R(x) = f(x)\chi_{\{|x|\leq R\}}$, then $f_R(x) = 0$ for almost all x in the ball of radius $R > 0$. Thus, $f = 0$ a.e.. We finish the proof of Proposition 4.2.

Theorem 4.2 Let $s = 1, 0 < p \leq 1, -\infty < \alpha \leq n(p-1)$. Then there exists $f \in \dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ such that (4.1) fails.

Proof When $s = 1, 0 < p \leq 1$ and $-\infty < \alpha \leq n(p-1)$, by Theorem 2.1 ii), we have $L^1 \subset \dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. Then, by Proposition 4.2, (4.1) fails for $f \in L^1$.

5 Singular integrals

Let us first consider Calderón-Zygmund operator T. Let T_ε and T^* denote the corresponding truncated operators and maximal operators respectively. See [23] for the definitions. We know that T, T_ε and T^* are bounded on (L^p) for $1 < p < \infty$. From the good-λ inequality, we have

Lemma 5.1 Let $0 < p < \infty, \omega \in A_\infty$, and T^* be a maximal Calderón-Zygmund operator. Then

$$\|T^* f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\|Mf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}}$$

for all $f \in L^1_{loc}$, where C are independent of f.

See [23] for a proof of Lemma 5.1.

Theorem 5.1 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. Then, for the functions in $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, $Tf, T_\varepsilon f$ and $T^* f$ are defined for μ_α-almost every points, T, T_ε and T_* are bounded from $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ into $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ and from $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ into $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$, and

$$T_\varepsilon f = \sum_i \lambda_i T_\varepsilon a_i, \quad \mu_\alpha\text{−a.e.} \tag{5.1}$$

$$Tf = \sum_i \lambda_i T a_i, \quad \mu_\alpha\text{−a.e.} \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$T^* f(x) \leq \sum_i |\lambda_i| T^* a_i(x), \ \ \mu_\alpha\text{−a.e.} \tag{5.3}$$

for $f = \sum_i \lambda_i a_i \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, where a_i are central R-(p, s, α) - blocks (or central R-(p, s, α) - blocks of restrict type), and $\sum_i |\lambda_i|^{\bar{p}} < +\infty$.

Proof From Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 5.1, we get that T, T_ε and T^* are bounded from $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ into $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ and from $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ into $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$. It follows that $Tf, T_\varepsilon f$ and $T^* f$ are defined for the functions f in $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ for μ_α-almost every points.

Next, let us prove (5.1). Firstly we consider the case of $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. Let $f = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda_i a_i$ where a_i are central R-(p, s, α) - block and $\sum_{i=0}^\infty |\lambda_i|^{\bar{p}} < +\infty$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exits an $N_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=N_0+1}^\infty |\lambda_i|^{\bar{p}} < \varepsilon. \tag{5.4}$$

By the sublinearity of the quantity $\|\cdot\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}$, and noticing that $\|a_i\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} < 1$, we have

$$\|f - \sum_{i=0}^{N_0} \lambda_i a_i\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} = \| \sum_{i=N_0+1}^\infty \lambda_i a_i\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \sum_{i=N_0+1}^\infty |\lambda_i| \leq \left\{ \sum_{i=N_0+1}^\infty |\lambda_i|^{\bar{p}} \right\}^{1/\bar{p}} < \varepsilon^{1/\bar{p}}. \tag{5.5}$$

Then, by the boundedness result of T_ε from $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ into $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$, (5.5), (5.4) and $\|T_\varepsilon a_i\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\|a_i\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_\varepsilon f - \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda_i T_\varepsilon a_i\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} &\leq \|T_\varepsilon(f - \sum_{i=0}^{N_0} \lambda_i a_i)\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} + \| \sum_{i=N_0+1}^\infty \lambda_i T_\varepsilon a_i\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \\
&\leq \|f - \sum_{i=0}^{N_0} \lambda_i a_i\|^{\bar{p}}_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \sum_{i=N_0+1}^\infty |\lambda_i|^{\bar{p}} \|T_\varepsilon a_i\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \\
&< C\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon \to 0$, we get that $\|T_\varepsilon f - \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda_i T_\varepsilon a_i\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} = 0$, and it follows that $T_\varepsilon f = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda_i T_\varepsilon a_i, \ \ \mu_\alpha$−a.e.. Thus, we have proved (5.1).

(5.2) can be proved similarly. (5.3) follows from (5.1) easily. The case of $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ is similar. Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 5.1.

It is known that Hilbert transform, Riesz transform and the regular singular integral operators defined in [12] are Calderón-Zygmund operators. So

Corollary 5.1 For Hilbert transform, Riesz transform, the regular singular integral operators, and their corresponding truncated operators and maximal operators, the same conclusions hold as those stated in Theorem 5.1.

When the index exceed the critical index, Bochner-Riesz means are controlled pointwise by Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. So it is easy to see from the theorem above that

Corollary 5.2 For Bochner-Riesz means with the index exceeding the critical index, the same conclusions hold as those stated in Theorem 5.1.

For Hilbert transform we have more.

Theorem 5.2 Let $1 < s < \infty$ and $0 < p \le s$. Then H is bounded from $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ or $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ into $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$, i.e.

$$\|Hf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})} \le C\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})}, \tag{5.6}$$

or

$$\|Hf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})} \le C\|f\|_{\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})}, \tag{5.7}$$

if and only if $-1 < \alpha < p-1$. So is H^*.

Proof By Corollary 5.1, we need only to prove that (5.6) and (5.7) fail for $\alpha \le -1$ and $\alpha \ge p-1$. Let $f = \chi_{[1,2]}$, it is easy to see that $f \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ and $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$, and

$$Hf(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\log\left|\frac{x-1}{x-2}\right|.$$

It is clear that $Hf(x) \sim \frac{1}{\pi(x-2)}$ when $|x| \to \infty$ or $|x| \to 0$. It follows that Hf is not in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ as $\alpha \ge p-1$, and clearly, neither is H^*. Since $Hf(x)$ is continues and not equal to zero on $[0,1/2]$, it is easy to see that Hf is not in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ as $\alpha \le -1$. H^* follows. Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Next, we will also see that many other linear operators defined for the functions in L^p with $1 < p < \infty$ have extensions which map $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ (or $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$) into $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$.

Theorem 5.3 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \le s, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. Suppose that T is a bounded linear operator on L^s, which satisfies (3.6). Then

i) T has an extension which maps $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ into $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ and satisfies (5.2) and

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \tag{5.8}$$

for $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, where each a_j is a central R-$(p,s,\alpha)-$block.

ii) The conclusions above hold for $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

Proof Let us first consider the case of $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. Let $f \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, then $f = \sum_i \lambda_i a_i$ where a_i are central R-(p,s,α) - block and $\sum_i |\lambda_i|^{\bar{p}} < +\infty$. By Corollary 3.1, we have

$$\|\sum_i \lambda_i T a_i\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \le \sum_i |\lambda_i|^{\bar{p}} \|Ta_i\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C\sum_i |\lambda_i|^{\bar{p}} < \infty.$$

It follows that $|\sum_i \lambda_i T a_i(x)| < \infty,\ \mu_\alpha-$a.e.. Set

$$Tf(x) = \sum_i \lambda_i T a_i(x),\ \mu_\alpha-\text{a.e.}. \tag{5.9}$$

Once it is proved that (5.9) holds for all decomposition of f as $f = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda_i a_i$ where a_i are central R-(p,s,α) - block and $\sum_{i=0}^\infty |\lambda_i|^{\bar{p}} < +\infty$, then the extension required is obtained.

Let

$$f = \sum_i \lambda_i^{(1)} a_i^{(1)} = \sum_i \lambda_i^{(2)} a_i^{(2)} \tag{5.10}$$

with

$$\sum_i |\lambda_i^{(1)}|^{\bar{p}} < +\infty \ \text{ and } \ \sum_i |\lambda_i^{(2)}|^{\bar{p}} < +\infty \tag{5.11}$$

and $a_i^{(1)}$ and $a_i^{(2)}$ are central R-(p,s,α) - blocks. Once $\sum_i \lambda_i^{(1)} T a_i^{(1)} = \sum_i \lambda_i^{(2)} T a_i^{(2)},\ \mu_\alpha-$a.e., then we get the extension required. In fact, for any $\delta > 0$, by (5.11), there exists an i_0 such that

$$\sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} |\lambda_i^{(1)}|^{\bar{p}} < \delta^{\bar{p}} \ \text{ and } \ \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} |\lambda_i^{(2)}|^{\bar{p}} < \delta^{\bar{p}}. \tag{5.12}$$

From (5.10), we see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} (\lambda_i^{(1)} a_i^{(1)} - \lambda_i^{(2)} a_i^{(2)}) = \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{(2)} a_i^{(2)} - \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{(1)} a_i^{(1)},$$

then,

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} (\lambda_i^{(1)} a_i^{(1)} - \lambda_i^{(2)} a_i^{(2)})\|^{\bar{p}}_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \le \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} |\lambda_i^{(1)}|^{\bar{p}} + \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} |\lambda_i^{(2)}|^{\bar{p}} < 2\delta^{\bar{p}}. \tag{5.13}$$

By the linearity of T, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{(1)} T a_i^{(1)} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{(2)} T a_i^{(2)} = T(\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} (\lambda_i^{(1)} a_i^{(1)} - \lambda_i^{(2)} a_i^{(2)})) + \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{(1)} T a_i^{(1)} - \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{(2)} T a_i^{(2)}. \tag{5.14}$$

By Corollary 3.2 and (5.13), we see that

$$\|T(\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} (\lambda_i^{(1)} a_i^{(1)} - \lambda_i^{(2)} a_i^{(2)}))\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \le \|\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} (\lambda_i^{(1)} a_i^{(1)} - \lambda_i^{(2)} a_i^{(2)})\|^{\bar{p}}_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} < 2\delta^{\bar{p}}. \tag{5.15}$$

From (5.14), (5.15), Corollary 3.1 and (5.12), we have

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{(1)} T a_i^{(1)} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{(2)} T a_i^{(2)}\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} < 4\delta^{\bar{p}}.$$

Let $\delta \to 0$, we get that $\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{(1)} T a_i^{(1)} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{(2)} T a_i^{(2)}\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} = 0$. It follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{(1)} T a_i^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{(2)} T a_i^{(2)},\ \mu_\alpha-$a.e.. Thus, (5.9) holds for all decomposition of f as $f = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda_i a_i \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, i.e. (5.2) holds for the extension of T.

(5.8) follows from (5.2) easily. The proof of the case of $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ is similar. Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 5.3.

Corollary 5.3 For C.Fefferman's singular multiplier operator, R.Fefferman's singular integral operator, Bochner-Riesz means at the critical index and certain oscillatory singular integral operators, the same conclusions hold as those stated in Theorem 5.3.

6 Fourier series

For $N > 0$, define the Dirichlet summation operator

$$S_N f(x) = \int_{-N}^{N} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi \tag{6.1}$$

on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$. We have the identity

$$S_N f = \frac{i}{2}(M^N H M^{-N} f - M^{-N} H M^N f) \tag{6.2}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$, where H is Hilbert transform and $M^N g(x) = e^{2\pi i N x} g(x)$. By (6.2), S_N extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ with $1 < p < \infty$. By Theorem 5.3, we have

Theorem 6.1 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s, -1 < \alpha < p - 1$. Then, the operator S_N, initially defined for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ by (6.1), extends to a bounded operator from $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ (or $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$) into $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$, and

$$S_N f = \sum_i \lambda_i S_N a_i, \quad \mu_\alpha\text{–a.e.} \tag{6.3}$$

and

$$\|S_N f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})}, (\text{ or } \|S_N f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})}), \tag{6.4}$$

for $f = \sum_i \lambda_i a_i \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ (or $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$), where a_i are central R-(p, s, α) - blocks (or central R-(p, s, α) - blocks of restrict type) and $\sum_i |\lambda_i|^{\bar{p}} < +\infty$.

Define Carleson operator

$$Cf(x) = \sup_{N>0} |S_N f(x)| \tag{6.5}$$

for $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ (or $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$). It is easy to see that the conclusion of Corollary 3.1 holds for Carleson operator C. We have

Theorem 6.2 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s, -1 < \alpha < p - 1$. Then,

$$Cf \leq \sum_i \lambda_i C a_i, \quad \mu_\alpha\text{–a.e.} \tag{6.6}$$

and

$$\|Cf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})}(\text{ or } \|Cf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})}), \tag{6.7}$$

for $f = \sum_i \lambda_i a_i \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ (or $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$), where a_i are central R-(p, s, α) - blocks (or central R-(p, s, α) - blocks of restrict type), and $\sum_i |\lambda_i|^{\bar{p}} < +\infty$.

Proof (6.6) follows from (6.3). (6.7) follows from (6.6) and Corollary 3.1.

According to a well-known patten, we can get the μ_α-a.e. convergence and $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$-norm convergence of $S_N f$ for $f \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ from Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 respectively. In fact, the convergence results follow from the following Lemmas whose proofs are similar to the cases of L^p.

Lemma 6.1 (Uniform boundedness principle) Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$.

(i) Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a dense subspace of $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and suppose that T_R is a sequence of linear operators such that

$$\|T_R f - f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \to 0, R \to \infty,$$

for $f \in \mathcal{D}$. Then in order for $T_R f \to f$ in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ norm as $R \to \infty$ for all $f \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ it is a necessary and sufficient condition that we have the estimate

$$\|T_R f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}$$

for all sufficiently large R, where the constants C are independent of R.

(ii) For $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, the same conclusions hold as those stated in (i).

Let T_ε be a linear operator for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and $T_*(f)(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |T_\varepsilon(f)(x)|$.

Lemma 6.2 (Maximal principle) Let $0 < p < \infty, 1 \leq s < \infty, -\infty < \alpha < \infty$.

(i) Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a dense subspace of $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, and suppose that for some C and for all $f \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ we have

$$\|T_* f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\|f\|_{RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}$$

and for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} T_\varepsilon(f)(x) = f(x) \qquad \mu_\alpha\text{−a.e..} \tag{6.8}$$

Then for all $f \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ (6.8) holds.

(ii) For $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, the same conclusions hold as those stated in (i).

It is easy to see that a.e. convergence implies μ_α-a.e. convergence when $\mu_\alpha \in A_\infty$. Then $\lim_{N\to\infty} S_N f = f, \mu_\alpha$−a.e., for all $f \in L^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $1 < s < \infty$ and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$, since $\lim_{N\to\infty} S_N f = f$ a.e. for all $f \in L^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $1 < s < \infty$.

On the other hand, we see that $|S_N f| \leq Cf$. By Theorem 6.2, it follows that $|S_N f - f| \leq Cf + |f| \in L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ for $f \in L^s(\mathbf{R}) \cap RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ (or $L^s(\mathbf{R}) \cap \dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$) if $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $-1 < \alpha < p-1$. The Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem gives that $S_N f$ converge to f in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$.

It is easy to see that $L^s(\mathbf{R}) \cap RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ in $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ and $L^s(\mathbf{R}) \cap \dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ in $\dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ are dense respectively. Thus, by the uniform boundedness principle and the maximal principle above, we obtain that

Theorem 6.3 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $-1 < \alpha < p-1$. Then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} S_N f = f \quad \text{ in } \ L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$$

and

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} S_N f = f, \qquad \mu_\alpha\text{−a.e.}$$

for all $f \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) \cup \dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$.

For the the functions on $I = [-1,1]$, Theorem 6.3 implies some weighted result. Let us first prove the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1 (i) Let $1 \leq s < \infty, 0 < p < s, -n < \alpha < \infty$. Then

$$L^s_{|x|^\alpha}(B_0) \subset RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(B_0).$$

(ii) Let $1 \le s < \infty, 0 < p < \infty, -n < \alpha < \infty$, then

$$L^s_{|x|^\alpha}(B_0) \subset \dot{R}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(B_0).$$

Here, $B_0 = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x| \le 1\}$.

Proof (i) Let $f \in L^s_{|x|^\alpha}(B_0)$, write $f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{0} \lambda_k b_k$, where

$$b_k = \frac{f\chi_{C_k}}{|B_k|^{\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}} \|f\chi_{C_k}\|_{L^s(B_0)}},$$

$$\lambda_k = |B_k|^{\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}} \|f\chi_{C_k}\|_{L^s(B_0)} \approx |B_k|^{(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s})(1+\frac{\alpha}{n})} \|f\chi_{C_k}\|_{L^s_{|x|^\alpha}(B_0)}$$

$k = 0, -1, -2, \cdots$, $B_k = B(0, 2^k), C_k = B_k \setminus B_{k-1}$. We see that all b_k are $(p, s, \alpha)-$blocks, and by Hölder inequality as $\bar{p} < s$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{0} |\lambda_k|^{\bar{p}} &\le C \sum_{k=-\infty}^{0} 2^{kn\bar{p}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s})(1+\frac{\alpha}{n})} \|f\chi_{C_k}\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^s_{|x|^\alpha}(B_0)} \\
&\le C \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{0} 2^{kn(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s})(1+\frac{\alpha}{n})\frac{s}{s-\bar{p}}} \right)^{(s-\bar{p})/s} \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{0} \|f\chi_{C_k}\|^{s}_{L^s_{|x|^\alpha}(B_0)} \right)^{\bar{p}/s} \\
&= C\|f\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^s_{|x|^\alpha}(B_0)},
\end{aligned}$$

noticing that $p < s$ and $-n < \alpha$. Thus, (i) holds.

(ii) Each $f \in L^s_{|x|^\alpha}(B_0)$ is a central R-(p, s, α)-block of restrict type, then (ii) is follows.

From Theorem 6.3 and Proposition 6.1 (ii), it is easy to see that

Corollary 6.1 Let $I = [-1, 1], 1 < s < \infty$ and $-1 < \alpha < s - 1$. Then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} S_N f = f \quad \text{in} \ \ L^s_{|x|^\alpha}(I)$$

and

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} S_N f = f, \qquad \mu_\alpha-\text{a.e.}$$

for all $f \in L^s_{|x|^\alpha}(I)$.

See also [16] for Corollary 6.1.

It should be pointed out that Theorem 6.3 does not imply new information for the pointwise convergence when $p/s - 1 < \alpha < p - 1$, since $RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset L^{\frac{p}{1+\alpha}}$ and $\frac{p}{1+\alpha} > 1$ by Proposition 2.2i.

Theorem 6.4 If $s = 1, 0 < p \le 1, -1 < \alpha \le p-1$. Then there exists $f \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} S_N f(x) = \infty, \quad \mu_\alpha-\text{a.e.}. \tag{6.9}$$

Proof When $s = 1, 0 < p \le 1, -1 < \alpha \le p - 1$, by Proposition 2.2ii, we see that $L^1 \subset RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. Then Kolmogorov's example shows that there exists $f \in RL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ such that

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} S_N f(x) = \infty \ \ \text{a.e.},$$

then (6.9) follows.

References

[1] N.Yu.Antonov, Convergence of Fourier series, East J. Approx. 2 (1996), 187-196.

[2] J.Arias-De-Reyna, Pointwise convergence of Fourier series, Lecture Notes in Math. 1785, Springer, 2002.

[3] L.Carleson, Convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series, Acta Math. 116(1966), 135-157.

[4] Y.M.Chen, An almost everywhere divergent Fourier series of the class $L(\log^+\log^+ L)^{1-\varepsilon}$, J. London Math. Soc. 44(1969), 643-654.

[5] S.Chanillo, Weighted norm inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators,. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 281(1984), 77-107.

[6] J.Duoandikoetxea and L.J.Rubio de Francia, Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates, Invent. Math. (3)84(1986), 541-561.

[7] C.Fefferman, Pointwise convergence of Fourier series, Ann. Math. (2)98(1973), 551-571.

[8] C.Fefferman, Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators, Acta Math. 124(1970), 9-36.

[9] C.Fefferman and E.M.Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, Acta Math. 129(1972), 137-194.

[10] L.Grafakos, T.Tao and E.Terwilleger, L^p bounds for a maximal dyadic sum operator, Math. Z. 246(2004), 321-337.

[11] L.Grafakos, Classical and modern Fourier analysis, Pearson Education, 2004.

[12] J.García-Cuerva and J. Rubio de Francia, Weighted norm inequalities and related topics, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.

[13] I.I.Hirschmann, On multiplier transformations, Duke Math. J. 26 (1959), 221-242.

[14] R.A.Hunt, On the convergence of Fourier series. In orthogonal expansions and their continuous analogues, pages 235-255, Carbondale, IL, 1968.

[15] R.Hunt, B.Muckenhoupt and R.Wheeden, Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 176(1973), 227-252.

[16] R.A.Hunt and W.S.Young, A weighted norm inequality for Fourier series,. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80(1974), 274-277.

[17] A.N.Kolmogorov, Une série de Fourier-Lebesgue divergente presque partout, Fundamenta Math. 4(1923), 324-329.

[18] S.V.Konyagin, On the divergence everywhere of trigonometric Fourier series. Sb. Math. 191(2000), 97-120.

[19] M.Lacey and C.Thiele, A proof of boundedness of the Carleson operator, Math. Res. Lett. (4)7(2000), 361-370.

[20] X.Li and D.Yang, Boundedness of some sublinear operator s on Herz spaces, Illinois J. of Math. 40(1996), 484-501.

[21] S.Long, Estimates at or beyond endpoint in harmonic analysis: Bochner-Riesz means and Spherical means, preprint, arXiv:submit/0206877[math.CA]3 Mar 2011.

[22] S.Long, Convergence of Fourier Series, Bochner-Riesz means and restriction problems, Ph.D.Thesis, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan, 2008.

[23] Y.Meyer, Wavelets and operators, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. vol. 37, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[24] B.Muckenhoupt, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165(1972), 207-226.

[25] Y.Pan, Hardy spaces and oscillatory singular integrals, Rev.Mat, Iberoamericana, 7(1991), 55-64.

[26] Y.Pan, Oscillatory singular integrals on L^p and Hardy spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (9)124 (1996), 2821-2825.

[27] Y.Pan, Uniform estimates for oscillatory integral operators, J. Funct. Anal. 100 (1991), 207-220.

[28] D.H.Phong and D.M.Stein, Hilbert integrals, singular integrals and Radon transforms, I, Acta Math. 57(1987), 179-194.

[29] F.Ricci and E.M.Stein, Harmonic analysis on nilpotent groups and singular integrals, I, J. Funct. Anal. 73(1987), 179-194.

[30] M.Riesz, Sur les fonctions conjuguées et les séries de Fourier, Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires Seanc. Acad. Sci. Paris, 178(1924), 1464-1467.

[31] P.Sjölin, L^p estimates for strongly singular convolution operators in $\mathbf{R}^n$, Ark. Mat. 14 (1976), 59-64.

[32] P.Sjölin, An inequality of Paley and convergence a.e. of Walsh-Fourier series, Arkiv Math. 7(1969), 551-570.

[33] F.Soria, Note on differentiation of integrals and the halo conjecture, Studia Math. 81(1985), 29-36.

[34] F.Soria, On an extrapolation theorem of Carleson-Sjölin with applications to a.e. convergence of. Fourier series, Studia Math. 94 (1989), 235-244.

[35] E.M.Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1970.

[36] M.Taibleson and G.Weiss, Certain function spaces associated with a.e. convergence of Fourier series. In: Proc. Conf. on Harmonic Analysis in honor of Zygmund, Woodsworth, vol.1, (1983), 95-113.

[37] S.Wainger, Special trigonometric series in k dimensions, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 59 (1965).

[38] A.Zygmund, Trigonometric series, vol. 1, 2nd, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1959.

Shunchao Long
Department of Mathematics,
Xiangtan University,
Hunan, 411105 P.R.China
E-mail: sclong@xtu.edu.cn